



Neighbourhood Development Plan

Decision time for consideration of sites

The NDP team held two presentation sessions, on 7 and 9 February attended by 170 residents, to share the information collected from the SON site surveys (123 of them) completed by the villagers. The team collated the information onto a grid of planning criteria. Traffic Light colours were used to capture the information and enable viewers to see the differences between the sites.

Feedback forms were completed at the end of presentations by many who attended. It was assumed that those who did not complete the forms were in general agreement with the proposals.

The feedback from villagers, including that from the working parties who met on the Saturday, was collated onto a grid and the comments have been summarised.

Feedback comments are in *italics*

SON 1 Proposals two-fold: First, the upper half (probably 10 acres) should be considered for use as amenity green space. Secondly, if SON 2 was developed, the lower SE quadrant of SON 1 could be considered for a small area of housing.

- Amenity green space (AGS), applauded by majority of comments
- Ensure AGS is protected land
- Consider SON sites 1, 2 & 3 together

SON 2 Proposal: SON 2 should be considered as a possible site for housing, acknowledging the challenges to find suitable access routes

- Respect surrounding ACNB – plant more trees
- SON sites 1, 2 & 3 to be considered as one
- Primary access via SON 3

SON 3 Proposal: SON 3 should be included as a possible site for development.

- Majority support for sports hall / community centre development
- Concerns re traffic Reades Lane
- Close proximity to village

SON 4 Proposal: This site should not be developed for any purpose

- Land not available for sale
- High quality ACNB
- Natural separation between SC & Kilmare End
- Outside the village envelope

SON 5 Proposal: The proposal is not to include it in the next design stage of NDP.

- Back land development, outside the village envelope

- Sink holes a complication
- Poor access to site

Dangerous precedent to develop towards Kilmare End

SON 6 Whole site proposal: Only part should be developed in order to retain the envelope

Part site proposal: A small, mixed, housing ribbon development linking 56-80 Kennylands Road

- Natural infill between existing housing
- Retain trees along road; increase screening
- Ensure housing similar in appearance

SON 7 Proposal: This site should be included in the next design phase of our NDP and offers scope for housing, a small-company office development, or sports/recreation use

- Protection of adjoining Haggs Wood and other trees
- Consider proximity to Essex Way (over 55s housing)

SON 8 Proposal: Site should be considered for more extensive sports hall and recreation use

- Housing should not be considered
- Gymnasium / recreation usage to be maintained

SON 9 Proposal: This site has potential for a number of options and consideration should be given to sports/recreation use, a small-company office development, or housing.

- Respect the sensitivities of the site and surrounds, include screening
- Concerns re distance / walking / traffic / parking in village centre
- No bus service on Peppard Road
- Any housing to be mixed and not too dense

SON 10 Proposal: To set this site aside and not to include it for any purpose.

- Gateway to high quality ACNB
- Viable rural character and beauty
- "Complete travesty to develop here"

SON 11 Proposal: Only part of the site should be considered for development. Potential to develop the strip of land running along the Peppard Road

- Limited housing mirroring developments on opposite side of Peppard Road
- Needs to be screened to protect ACNB
- "Consider as a last resort"

SON 12 Proposal: SON 12 should not be considered as a site for development of any kind

- Within high quality ACNB
- Viable rural character and beauty

SON 13 Proposal: Withdrawn during the process so is not available for development

- Land not available for sale
- If become available may have potential

SON 14 Proposal: This site should not be developed for any purpose

- Land not available for sale
- Too small plus access and gradient issues

With this strong endorsement from our residents we are now ready to move onto the Design Phase when we will be detailing our proposals for the sites that we are taking forward, as well as considering the wider needs of the village for amenities, services and facilities. During this phase we will be consulting the appropriate landowners, local residents and neighbouring Parish Councils in the hope that we can arrive at mutually acceptable proposals for inclusion and publication in our final NDP recommendations later this year. ■

SON sites	Agree with proposals	Disagree with proposals	Not sure	Proposal for NEXT STAGE design process
SON 1	29	3	3	Include
SON 2	30	1	2	Include
SON 3	29		2	Include
SON 4	24	2		Set aside
SON 5	22	2	2	Set aside
SON 6	31	3	1	Part only
SON 7	29	2	2	Include
SON 8	28		2	Include
SON 9	33	1		Include
SON 10	24	1	1	Set aside
SON 11	23	6	3	Part only
SON 12	23	1	2	Set aside
SON 13	18		6	Set aside
SON 14	25			Set aside